

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/650,200	08/29/2000	Cornelius Van Zon	US 000219	8637
24737	7590 04/07/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			RAO, ANAND SHASHIKANT	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	licant(s)			
	09/650,200	VAN ZON, CORNELIUS			
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
,	Andy S. Rao	2613			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of 18 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, of Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the lapply and will expire SIX (6) MC sause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	·				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.		· ·			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in y documents have bee (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5.  S. Patent and Trademark Office	Paper No	r(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2613

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 2613

3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sethuraman et al., (hereinafter referred to as "Sethuraman").

Sethuraman discloses an apparatus for use with a scalable video decoder capable of decoding an incoming scalable video bit stream and generating a baseband video signal (Sethuraman: column 8, lines 40-65), said apparatus for controlling a processing load of said scalable video decoder comprising (Sethuraman: column 9, lines 35-45): analyzer circuit capable of measuring at least one characteristic of said incoming scalable video bit stream and generating at least one video parameter associated with said at least one characteristic (Sethuraman: column 4, lines 20-25); and a processor load controller capable receiving said at least one video parameter (Sethuraman: column 9, lines 7-35) and, in response thereto, controlling a level of decoding of said incoming scalable video bit stream performed by said scalable video decoder (Sethuraman: column 9, lines 46-56), as in claim 1.

Regarding claim 2, Sethuraman discloses that said at least one video parameter indicates a level of motion of frames (Sethuraman: column 7, lines 20-53), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 3, Sethuraman discloses that said at least one video parameter indicates a level of detail of frames (Sethuraman: column 19, lines 40-50), as in the claim.

Regarding claims 4-5, Sethuraman discloses receiving a frame type parameter associated with a first frame (Sethuraman: column 4, lines 55-65), as in the claims.

Regarding claims 6-7, Sethuraman discloses receiving a source type parameter indicating a video bit stream or a film bit stream (Sethuraman: column 3, lines 35-55), as in the claims.

Art Unit: 2613

Ŋ

Regarding claim 8, Sethuraman discloses generates at least one scale factor capable of controlling a level of decoding performed by said scalable video decoder (Sethuraman: column 6, lines 25-67), as in the claim.

Sethuraman discloses a video processing system (Sethuraman: figure 1), comprising: a buffer capable of receiving an storing scalable video bit stream (Sethuraman: column 4, lines 40-50); a scalable video decoder capable of decoding an incoming scalable video bit stream and generating a baseband video signal (Sethuraman: column 8, lines 40-65), said scalable video decoder comprising: an apparatus for controlling a processing load of said scalable video decoder comprising (Sethuraman: column 9, lines 35-45): an analyzer circuit capable of measuring at least one characteristic of said incoming scalable video bit stream and generating at least one video parameter associated with said at least one characteristic (Sethuraman: column 4, lines 20-25); and a processor load controller capable receiving said at least one video parameter (Sethuraman: column 9, lines 7-35) and, in response thereto, controlling a level of decoding of said incoming scalable video bit stream performed by said scalable video decoder (Sethuraman: column 9, lines 46-56); and a display coupled to said scalable video decoder capable of displaying said baseband video signal (Sethuraman: column 36, lines 1-10), as in claim 9.

Regarding claim 10, Sethuraman discloses that said at least one video parameter indicates a level of motion of frames (Sethuraman: column 7, lines 20-53), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 11, Sethuraman discloses that said at least one video parameter indicates a level of detail of frames (Sethuraman: column 19, lines 40-50), as in the claim.

Regarding claims 12-13, Sethuraman discloses receiving a frame type parameter associated with a first frame (Sethuraman: column 4, lines 55-65), as in the claims.

Art Unit: 2613

Ļ

Regarding claims 14-15, Sethuraman discloses receiving a source type parameter indicating a video bit stream or a film bit stream (Sethuraman: column 3, lines 35-55), as in the claims.

Regarding claim 16, Sethuraman discloses generates at least one scale factor capable of controlling a level of decoding performed by said scalable video decoder (Sethuraman: column 6, lines 25-67), as in the claim.

Sethuraman discloses a method for use with a scalable video decoder capable of decoding an incoming scalable video bit stream and generating a baseband video signal (Sethuraman: column 8, lines 40-65), said method for controlling a processing load of said scalable video decoder comprising the steps of (Sethuraman: column 9, lines 35-45): measuring at least one characteristic of said incoming scalable video bit stream and generating at least one video parameter associated with said at least one characteristic (Sethuraman: column 4, lines 20-25); generating at least one video parameter associated with the at least one characteristic (Sethuraman: column 9, lines 7-35); and in response to a value of the at least one video parameter controlling a level of decoding of said incoming scalable video bit stream performed by said scalable video decoder (Sethuraman: column 9, lines 46-56), as in claim 17.

Regarding claim 18, Sethuraman discloses that said at least one video parameter indicates a level of motion of frames (Sethuraman: column 7, lines 20-53), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 19, Sethuraman discloses that said at least one video parameter indicates a level of detail of frames (Sethuraman: column 19, lines 40-50), as in the claim.

Regarding claims 20-21, Sethuraman discloses receiving a frame type parameter associated with a first frame (Sethuraman: column 4, lines 55-65), as in the claims.

Art Unit: 2613

### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Radha discloses a scalable video system. Aharoni discloses a system for adaptive video/audio transport over a network.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andy S. Rao whose telephone number is (703)-305-4813. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris S. Kelley can be reached on (703)-305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andy S. Rao Primary Examiner Art Unit 2613

ANDY BAO PRIMAMY EXAMINER

asr April 2, 2004